

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DNA Twopointo, Inc.
Plaintiff(s),

CASE NO. 3:12-CV-6428 WHO

v.

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Genome Compiler Corporation
Defendant(s).

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- ☐ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
☒ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
☐ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

- ☐ Private ADR (please identify process and provider) _____

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- ☐ the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*
☒ other requested deadline September 16, 2013

Dated: 7/11/13


Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: 7/10/13


Attorney for Defendant

CONTINUE TO FOLLOWING PAGE

[PROPOSED] ORDER

☒ ☐

The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.

The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 18, 2013


The Honorable William H. Orrick
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."